BEFORE THE
STATE OF NEW YORK
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Case 16-E-0060 and 16-G-0061

October 2016

Reply Exhibits of:

Staff Electric and Gas Rates Panel

Mary Ann Sorrentino
Utility Supervisor

Liliya A. Randt
Utility Engineer 3

Johanna Miller
Utility Engineer 2

Office of Electric, Gas and Water

State of New York
Department of Public Service
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York, 12223-1350

ELECTRIC UTILITY COST ALLOCATION MANUAL

January, 1992

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

1101 Vermont Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
USA
Tel: (202) 898-2200
Fax: (202) 898-2213
www.naruc.org

$25.00

CHAPTER 6

CLASSIFICATION AND ALLOCATION OF DISTRIBUTION PLANT

Distribution plant equipment reduces high-voltage energy from the transmission system to lower voltages, delivers it to the customer and monitors the amounts of energy used by the customer.

Distribution facilities provide service at two voltage levels: primary and secondary. Primary voltages exist between the substation power transformer and smaller line transformers at the customer's points of service. These voltages vary from system to system and usually range between 480 volts to 35 KV. In the last few years, advances in equipment and cable technology have permitted the use of higher primary distribution voltages. Primary voltages are reduced to more usable secondary voltages by smaller line transformers installed at customer locations along the primary distribution circuit. However, some large industrial customers may choose to install their own line transformers and take service at primary voltages because of their large electrical requirements.

In some cases, the utility may choose to install a transformer for the exclusive use of a single commercial or industrial customer. On the other hand, in service areas with high customer density, such as housing tracts, a line transformer will be installed to serve many customers. In this case, secondary voltage lines run from pole-to-pole or from handhole-to-handhole, and each customer is served by a drop tapped off the secondary line leading directly to the customer's premise.

I. COST ACCOUNTING FOR DISTRIBUTION PLANT AND EXPENSES

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts requires separate accounts for distribution investment and expenses. Distribution plant accounts are summarized and classified in Table 6-1. Distribution expense accounts are summarized and classified in Table 6-2. Some utilities may choose to establish subaccounts for more detailed cost reporting.

TABLE 6-1

CLASSIFICATION OF DISTRIBUTION PLANT[1]

FERC Uniform System of Accounts No.	Description	Demand Related	Customer Related
	Distribution Plant [2]		
360	Land & Land Rights	X	X
361	Structures & Improvements	X	X
362	Station Equipment	X	-
363	Storage Battery Equipment	X	-
364	Poles, Towers, & Fixtures	X	X
365	Overhead Conductors & Devices	X	X
366	Underground Conduit	X	X
367	Underground Conductors & Devices	X	X
368	Line Transformers	X	X
369	Services	-	X
370	Meters	-	X
371	Installations on Customer Premises	-	X
372	Leased Property on Customer Premises	-	X
373	Street Lighting & Signal Systems [1]	-	-

[1]Assignment or "exclusive use" costs are assigned directly to the customer class or group which exclusively uses such facilities. The remaining costs are then classified to the respective cost components.

[2]The amounts between classification may vary considerably. A study of the minimum intercept method or other appropriate methods should be made to determine the relationships between the demand and customer components.

TABLE 6-2

CLASSIFICATION OF DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES[1]

FERC Uniform System of Accounts No.	Description	Demand Related	Customer Related
	Operation [2]		
580	Operation Supervision & Engineering	X	X
581	Load Dispatching	X	-
582	Station Expenses	X	-
583	Overhead Line Expenses	X	X
584	Underground Line Expenses	X	X
585	Street Lighting & Signal System Expenses [1]	-	-
586	Meter Expenses	-	X
587	Customer Installation Expenses	-	X
588	Miscellaneous Distribution Expenses	X	X
589	Rents	X	X
	Maintenance [2]		
590	Maintenance Supervision & Engineering	X	X
591	Maintenance of Structures	X	X
592	Maintenance of Station Equipment	X	-
593	Maintenance of Overhead Lines	X	X
594	Maintenance of Underground Lines	X	X
595	Maintenance of Line Transformers	X	X
596	Maint. of Street Lighting & Signal Systems [1]	-	-
597	Maintenance of Meters	-	X
598	Maint. of Miscellaneous Distribution Plants	X	X

[1]Direct assignment or "exclusive use" costs are assigned directly to the customer class or group which exclusively uses such facilities. The remaining costs are then classified to the respective cost components.

[2]The amounts between classifications may vary considerably. A study of the minimum intercept method or other appropriate methods should be made to determine the relationships between the demand and customer components.

To ensure that costs are properly allocated, the analyst must first classify each account as demand-related, customer-related, or a combination of both. The classification depends upon the analyst's evaluation of how the costs in these accounts were incurred. In making this determination, supporting data may be more important than theoretical considerations.

Allocating costs to the appropriate groups in a cost study requires a special analysis of the nature of distribution plant and expenses. This will ensure that costs are assigned to the correct functional groups for classification and allocation. As indicated in Chapter 4, all costs of service can be identified as energy-related, demand-related, or customer-related. Because there is no energy component of distribution-related costs, we need consider only the demand and customer components.

To recognize voltage level and use of facilities in the functionalization of distribution costs, distribution line costs must be separated into overhead and underground, and primary and secondary voltage classifications. A typical functionalization and classification of distribution plant would appear as follows:

Substations:	Demand
Distribution:	Overhead Primary
	Demand
	Customer
	Overhead Secondary
	Demand
	Customer
	Underground Primary
	Demand
	Customer
	Underground Secondary
	Demand
	Customer
	Line Transformers
	Demand
	Customer
Services:	Overhead
	Demand
	Customer
	Underground
	Demand
	Customer
Meters:	Customer
Street Lighting:	Customer
Customer Accounting:	Customer
Sales:	Customer

From this breakdown it can be seen that each distribution account must be analyzed before it can be assigned to the appropriate functional category. Also, these accounts must be classified as demand-related, customer-related, or both. Some utilities assign distribution to customer-related expenses. Variations in the demands of various customer groups are used to develop the weighting factors for allocating costs to the appropriate group.

II. DEMAND AND CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS 0F DISTRIBUTION PLANT ACCOUNTS

When the utility installs distribution plant to provide service to a customer and to meet the individual customer's peak demand requirements, the utility must classify distribution plant data separately into demand- and customer-related costs.

Classifying distribution plant as a demand cost assigns investment of that plant to a customer or group of customers based upon its contribution to some total peak load. The reason is that costs are incurred to serve area load, rather than a specific number of customers.

Distribution substations costs (which include Accounts 360 -Land and Land Rights, 361 - Structures and Improvements, and 362 -Station Equipment), are normally classified as demand-related. This classification is adopted because substations are normally built to serve a particular load and their size is not affected by the number of customers to be served.

Distribution plant Accounts 364 through 370 involve demand and customer costs. The customer component of distribution facilities is that portion of costs which varies with the number of customers. Thus, the number of poles, conductors, transformers, services, and meters are directly related to the number of customers on the utility's system. As shown in Table 6-1, each primary plant account can be separately classified into a demand and customer component. Two methods are used to determine the demand and customer components of distribution facilities. They are, the minimum-size-of-facilities method, and the minimum-intercept cost (zero-intercept or positive-intercept cost, as applicable) of facilities.

A. The Minimum-Size Method

Classifying distribution plant with the minimum-size method assumes that a minimum size distribution system can be built to serve the minimum loading requirements of the customer. The minimum-size method involves determining the minimum size pole, conductor, cable, transformer, and service that is currently installed by the utility. Normally, the average book cost for each piece of equipment determines

the price of all installed units. Once determined for each primary plant account, the minimum size distribution system is classified as customer-related costs. The demand-related costs for each account are the difference between the total investment in the account and customer-related costs. Comparative studies between the minimum-size and other methods show that it generally produces a larger customer component than the zero-intercept method (to be discussed). The following describes the methodologies for determining the minimum size for distribution plant Accounts 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, and 369.

1. **Account 364 - Poles, Towers, and Fixtures**

   - Determine the average installed book cost of the minimum height pole currently being installed.
   - Multiply the average book cost by the number of poles to find the customer component. Balance of plant account is the demand component.

2. **Account 365 - Overhead Conductors and Devices**

   - Determine minimum size conductor currently being installed.
   - Multiply average installed book cost per mile of minimum size conductor by the number of circuit miles to determine the customer component. Balance of plant account is demand component. (Note: two conductors in minimum system.)

3. **Accounts 366 and 367 - Underground Conduits, Conductors, and Devices**

   - Determine minimum size cable currently being installed.
   - Multiply average installed book cost per mile of minimum size cable by the circuit miles to determine the customer component. Balance of plant Account 367 is demand component. (Note: one cable with ground sheath is minimum system.) Account 366 conduit is assigned, basedon ratio of cable account.
   - Multiply average installed book cost of minimum size transformer by number of transformers in plant account to determine the customer component. Balance of plant account is demand component.

4. **Account 368 - Line Transformers**

   - Determine minimum size transformer currently being installed.

- Multiply average installed book cost of minimum size transformer by number of transformers in plant account to determine the customer component.

5. **Account 369 - Services**

- Determine minimum size and average length of services currently being installed.
- Estimate cost of minimum size service and multiply by number of services to get customer component.
- If overhead and underground services are booked separately, they should be handled separately. Most companies do not book service by size. This requires an engineering estimate of the cost of the minimum size, average length service. The resultant estimate is usually higher than the average book cost. In addition, the estimate should be adjusted for the average age of service, using a trend factor.

B. The Minimum-Intercept Method

The minimum-intercept method seeks to identify that portion of plant related to a hypothetical no-load or zero-intercept situation. This requires considerably more data and calculation than the minimum-size method. In most instances, it is more accurate, although the differences may be relatively small. The technique is to relate installed cost to current carrying capacity or demand rating, create a curve for various sizes of the equipment involved, using regression techniques, and extend the curve to a no-load intercept. The cost related to the zero-intercept is the customer component. The following describes the methodologies for determining the minimum intercept for distribution-plant Accounts 364, 365, 366, 367, and 368.

1. **Account 364 - Poles, Towers, and Fixtures**

- Determine the number, investment, and average installed book cost of distribution poles by height and class of pole. (Exclude stubs for guying.)
- Determine minimum intercept of pole cost by creating a regression equation, relating classes and heights of poles, and using the Class 7 cost intercept for each pole of equal height weighted by the number of poles in each height category.
- Multiply minimum intercept cost by total number of distribution poles to get customer component.

- Balance of pole investment is assigned to demand component.

- Total account dollars are assigned based on ratio of pole investment. (Transformer platforms in Account 364 are all demand-related. They should be removed before determining the account ratio of customer- and demand-related costs, and then they should be added to the demand portion of Account 364.)

2. Account 365 - Overhead Conductors and Devices

- If accounts are divided between primary and secondary voltages, develop a customer component separately for each. The total investment is assigned to primary and secondary; then the customer component is developed for each. Since conductors generally are of many types and sizes, select those sizes and types which represent the bulk of the investment in this account, if appropriate.

- When developing the customer component, consider only the investment in conductors, and not such devices as circuit breakers, insulators, switches, etc. The investment in these devices will be assigned later between the customer and demand component, based on the conductor assignment.

  - Determine the feet, investment, and average installed book cost per foot for distribution conductors by size and type.

  - Determine minimum intercept of conductor cost per foot using cost per foot by size and type of conductor weighted by feet or investment in each category, and developing a cost for the utility's minimum size conductor.

  - Multiply minimum intercept cost by the total number of circuit feet times 2. (Note that circuit feet, not conductor feet, are used to get customer component.)

  - Balance of conductor investment is assigned to demand.

  - Total primary or secondary dollars in the account, including devices, are assigned to customer and demand components based on conductor investment ratio.

3. Accounts 366 and 367 - Underground Conduits, Conductors, and Devices

- The customer demand component ratio is developed for conductors and applied to conduits. Underground conductors are generally booked by type and size of conductor for both one-conductor (I/c) cable and three-conductor (3/c) cables. If conductors are booked by voltage, as between primary and secondary, a customer component is

developed for each. If network and URD investments are segregated, a customer component must be developed for each.

- The conductor sizes and types for the customer component derivation are restricted to I/c cable. Since there are generally many types and sizes of I/c cable, select those sizes and types which represent the bulk of the investment, when appropriate.

  - Determine the feet, investment, and average installed book cost per foot for I/c cables by size and type of cable.

  - Determine minimum intercept of cable cost per foot using cost per foot by size and type of cable weighted by feet of investment in each category.

  - Multiply minimum intercept cost by the total number of circuit feet (I/c cable with sheath is considered a circuit) to get customer component.

  - Balance of cable investment is assigned to demand.

  - Total dollars in Accounts 366 and 367 are assigned to customer and demand components based on conductor investment ratio.

4. Account 368 - Line Transformers

- The line transformer account covers all sizes and voltages for single- and three-phase transformers. Only single-phase sizes up to and including 50 KVA should be used in developing the customer components. Where more than one primary distribution voltage is used, it may be appropriate to use the transformer price from one or two predominant, selected voltages.

  - Determine the number, investment, and average installed book cost per transformer by size and type (voltage).

  - Determine zero intercept of transformer cost using cost per transformer by type, weighted by number for each category.

  - Multiply zero intercept cost by total number of line transformers to get customer component.

  - Balance of transformer investment is assigned to demand component.

  - Total dollars in the account are assigned to customer and demand components based on transformer investment ratio from customer and demand components.

C. The Minimum-System vs. Minimum-Intercept Approach

When selecting a method to classify distribution costs into demand and customer costs, the analyst must consider several factors. The minimum-intercept method can sometimes produce statistically unreliable results. The extension of the regression equation beyond the boundaries of the data normally will intercept the Y axis at a positive value. In some cases, because of incorrect accounting data or some other abnormality in the data, the regression equation will intercept the Y axis at a negative value. When this happens, a review of the accounting data must be made, and suspect data deleted.

The results of the minimum-size method can be influenced by several factors. The analyst must determine the minimum size for each piece of equipment: "Should the minimum size be based upon the minimum size equipment currently installed, historically installed, or the minimum size necessary to meet safety requirements?" The manner in which the minimum size equipment is selected will directly affect the percentage of costs that are classified as demand and customer costs.

Cost analysts disagree on how much of the demand costs should be allocated to customers when the minimum-size distribution method is used to classify distribution plant. When using this distribution method, the analyst must be aware that the minimum-size distribution equipment has a certain load-carrying capability, which can be viewed as a demand-related cost.

When allocating distribution costs determined by the minimum-size method, some cost analysts will argue that some customer classes can receive a disproportionate share of demand costs. Their rationale is that customers are allocated a share of distribution costs classified as demand-related. Then those customers receive a second layer of demand costs that have been mislabeled customer costs because the minimum-size method was used to classify those costs.

Advocates of the minimum-intercept method contend that this problem does not exist when using their method. The reason is that the customer cost derived from the minimum-intercept method is based upon the zero-load intercept of the cost curve. Thus, the customer cost of a particular piece of equipment has no demand cost in it whatsoever.

D. Other Accounts

The preceding discussion of the merits of minimum-system versus the zero-intercept classification schemes will affect the major distribution-plant accounts for FERC Accounts 364 through 368. Several other plant accounts remain to be classified. While the classification of the following distribution-plant accounts is an important step,

it is not as controversial as the classification of substations, poles, transformers, and conductors.

1. Account 369 - Services

This account is generally classified as customer-related. Classification of services may also include a demand component to reflect the fact that larger customers will require more costly service drops.

2. Account 370 - Meters

Meters are generally classified on a customer basis. However, they may also be classified using a demand component to show that larger-usage customers require more expensive metering equipment.

3. Account 371 - Installations on Customer Premises

This account is generally classified as customer-related and is often directly assigned. The kind of equipment in this account often influences how this account is treated. The equipment in this account is owned by the utility, but is located on the customer's side of the meter. A utility will often include area lighting equipment in this account and assign the investment directly to the lighting customer class.

4. Account 373 - Street Lighting and Signal Systems

This account is generally customer-related and is directly assigned to the street customer class.

III. ALLOCATION OF THE DEMAND AND CUSTOMER COMPONENTS OF DISTRIBUTION PLANT

After completing the classification of distribution plant accounts, the next major step in the cost of service process is to allocate the classified costs. Generally, determining the distribution-demand allocator will require more data and analysis than determining the customer allocators. Following are procedures used to calculate the demand and customer allocation factors.

A. Development of the Distribution Demand Allocators

There are several factors to consider when allocating the demand components of distribution plant. Distribution facilities, from a design and operational perspective, are installed primarily to meet localized area loads. Distribution substations are designed to meet the maximum load from the distribution feeders emanating from the substation.

Similarly, when designing primary and secondary distribution feeders, the distribution engineer ensures that sufficient conductor and transformer capacity is available to meet the customer's loads at the primary- and secondary-distribution service levels. Local area loads are the major factors in sizing distribution equipment. Consequently, customer-class noncoincident demands (NCPs) and individual customer maximum demands are the load characteristics that are normally used to allocate the demand component of distribution facilities. The customer-class load characteristic used to allocate the demand component of distribution plant (whether customer class NCPs or the summation of individual customer maximum demands) depends on the load diversity that is present at the equipment to be allocated. The load diversity at distribution substations and primary feeders is usually high. For this reason, customer-class peaks are normally used for the allocation of these facilities. The facilities nearer the customer, such as secondary feeders and line transformers, have much lower load diversity. They are normally allocated according to the individual customer's maximum demands. Although these are the methods normally used for the allocation of distribution demand costs, some exceptions exist.

The load diversity differences for some utilities at the transmission and distribution substation levels may not be large. Consequently, some large distribution substations may be allocated using the same method as the transmission system. Before the cost analyst selects a method to allocate the different levels of distribution facilities, he must know the design and operational characteristics of the distribution system, as well as the demand losses at each level of the distribution system.

As previously indicated, the distribution system consists of several levels. The first level starts at the distribution substation, and the last level ends at the customer's meters. Power losses occur at each level and should be included in the demand allocators. Power losses are incorporated into the demand allocators by showing different demand loss factors at each predominant voltage level. The demand loss factor used to develop the primary-distribution demand allocator will be slightly larger than the demand loss factor used to develop the secondary demand allocator. When developing the distribution demand allocator, be aware that some customers take service at different voltage levels.

Cost analysts developing the allocator for distribution of substations or primary demand facilities must ensure that only the loads of those customers who benefit from these facilities are included in the allocator. For example, the loads of customers who take service at transmission level should not be reflected in the distribution substation or primary demand allocator. Similarly, when analysts develop the allocator for secondary demand facilities, the loads for customers served by the primary distribution system should not be included.

Utilities can gather load data to develop demand allocators, either through their load research program or their transformer load management program. In most cases, the load research program gathers data from meters on the customers' premises. A more complex procedure is to use the transformer load management program.

This procedure involves simulating load profiles for the various classes of equipment on the distribution system. This provides information on the nature of the load diversity between the customer and the substation, and its effect on equipment cost. Determining demand allocators through simulation provides a first-order load approximation, which represents the peak load for each type of distribution equipment.

The concept of peak load or "equipment peak" for each piece of distribution equipment can be understood by considering line transformers. If a given transformer's loading for each hour of a month can be calculated, a transformer load curve can be developed. By knowing the types of customers connected to each load management transformer, a simulated transformer load profile curve can be developed for the system. This can provide each customer's class demand at the time of the transformer's peak load. Similarly, an equipment peak can be defined for equipment at each level of the distribution system. Although the equipment peak obtained by this method may not be ideal, it will closely approximate the actual peak. Thus, this method should reflect the different load diversities among customers at each level of the distribution system. An illustration of the simulation procedure is provided in Appendix 6-A.

B. Allocation of Customer-Related Costs

When the demand-customer classification has been completed, most of the assumptions will have been made that affect the results of the completed cost of service study.

The allocation of the customer-related portion of the various plant accounts is based on the number of customers by classes of service, with appropriate weightings and adjustments. Weighting factors reflect differences in characteristics of customers within a given class, or between classes. Within a class, for instance, we may want to give more weighting of a certain plant account to rural customers, as compared to urban customers. The metering account is a clear example of an account requiring weighting for differences between classes. A metering arrangement for a single industrial customer may be 20 to 80 times as costly as the metering for one residential customer.

While customer allocation factors should be weighted to offset differences among various types of customers, highly refined weighting factors or detailed and time consuming studies may not seem worthwhile. Such factors applied in this final step of the cost study may affect the final results much less than such basic assumptions as the demand-allocation method or the technique for determining demand-customer classifications.

Expense allocations generally are based on the comparable plant allocator of the various classes. For instance, maintenance of overhead lines is generally assumed to be directly related to plant in overhead conductors and devices. Exceptions to this rule will occur in some accounts. Meter expenses, for example, are often a function of

maintenance and testing schedules related more to revenue per customer than to the cost of the meters themselves.

GAS DISTRIBUTION RATE DESIGN MANUAL

Prepared by the
NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Gas

June 1989

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

1101 Vermont Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20005 USA
Tel: (202) 898-2200
Fax: (202) 898-2213
www.naruc.org

$17.00

accordance with prescribed uniform accounting systems. These systems, such as the Uniform System of Accounts, classify costs according to primary operating functions. Thus, the functionalization of costs is already done for the cost of service analyst.

2. Classification of Costs

The functionalization of costs is of limited use in the allocation of costs. Therefore, it is necessary to further classify costs into customer, energy or commodity, and demand or capacity costs.

a. Customer Costs

Customer costs are those operating capital costs found to vary directly with the number of customers served rather than with the amount of utility service supplied. They include the expenses of metering, reading, billing, collecting, and accounting, as well as those costs associated with the capital investment in metering equipment and in customers' service connections.

A portion of the costs associated with the distribution system may be included as customer costs. However, the inclusion of such costs can be controversial. One argument for inclusion of distribution related items in the customer cost classification is the "zero or minimum size main theory." This theory assumes that there is a zero or minimum size main necessary to connect the customer to the system and thus affords the customer an opportunity to take service if he so desires.

Under the minimum size main theory, all distribution mains are priced out at the historic unit cost of the smallest main installed in the system, and assigned as customer costs. The remaining book cost of distribution mains is assigned to demand. The zero-inch main method would allocate the cost of a

theoretical main of zero-inch diameter to the customer function, and allocate the remaining costs associated with mains to demand. A calculation of a minimum size main is shown in the illustrative cost allocation study. The contra argument to the inclusion of certain distribution costs as customer costs is that mains and services are installed to serve demands of the consumers and should be allocated to that function. Under this basic system theory, only those facilities, such as meters, regulators and service taps, are considered to be customer related, as they vary directly with the number of customers on the system.

Another controversial item is the inclusion of sales promotion expenses in the customer cost component. Analysts vary in their opinions as to the extent of the inclusion. Some would include all, some none, and some a portion of sales promotion expense in the customer category. With emphasis placed on conservation, many regulatory bodies have prohibited this type of activity, and in those cases, if cost were incurred, it should be deleted from the study based upon its being a "below the line" or a stockholder expense.

b. Energy or Commodity Costs

Energy or commodity costs are those which vary with the quantity of gas produced or purchased. They are largely made up of the commodity portion of purchased gas cost and the cost of feedstock, catalyst, fuel, and other variable expenses used in the production of gas from a manufactured or synthetic gas (SNG) plant. Energy or commodity costs increase or decrease as more or less gas is consumed.

c. Demand or Capacity Costs

Demand or capacity costs vary with the quantity or size of plant and equipment. They are related to maximum system requirements which the system is

designed to serve during short intervals and do not directly vary with the number of customers or their annual usage. Included in these costs are: the capital costs associated with production, transmission and storage plant and their related expenses; the demand cost of gas; and most of the capital costs and expenses associated with that part of distribution plant not allocated to customer costs, such as the costs associated with distribution mains in excess of the minimum size.

3. Allocation of Costs to Customer Classes

After the assignment of costs to the customer, energy, and demand categories, each category must be allocated to the various service classifications or to their subdivisions.

a. Customer Costs

Customer costs may be distributed in proportion to the number of customers in a class, or a more detailed study may be made whereby certain components of the customer costs may be distributed on a per-customer basis, directly assigned or distributed on a weighted per-customer basis. The latter method permits recognition of known or ascertainable customer cost differences such as the frequency of meter readings, complexity in obtaining readings or integrating meter reading charts, and the individiual attention which may be given to large customers, such as separate meter reading schedules.

As discussed earlier, while there may be differences on whether certain items of plant should be assigned to customer costs, there are clearly certain expenses which are independent of whether a customer consumes gas or not. Since these costs will not be recouped if little or no gas is consumed, they are generally included in a minimum bill or customer service charge. One of the

useful by-products of a detailed cost of service study is that the customer costs are broken out by service classification or class of customer. When these costs are divided by the number of customers within a particular subdivision, the analyst is provided with an indication of what the minimum or customer service charge should be.

b. Energy or Commodity Costs

Energy or commodity costs may be distributed to customer groups on the basis of the quantity of gas consumed during some historical or projected test period, with or without allowance for losses incurred in transporting the gas from the production plant or city gate station to the customer. If the historical test period were abnormally cold or warm, the sales and related cost should be normalized before allocation. The analyst in reviewing the operation of the system could find that certain classes of customers might appropriately be allocated a greater or lesser than average level of lost and unaccounted for gas. This determination will be affected by such factors as the degree of utilization of distribution facilities, quality of metering equipment and the timing of meter readings relative to purchases.

c. Demand or Capacity Costs

Demand or capacity costs are allocated to customer classes based upon an analysis of system load conditions and on how each customer class affects such costs. These are largely joint or common costs, and their allocation generates the largest controversy surrounding a cost of service study. This subject has been studied and argued for years without resolution, and often represents the largest item which can dramatically alter the result of a study.

d. Other Costs

Other costs, such as those associated with common plant, working capital and administrative and general expenses, cannot be readily categorized as either customer, energy or demand. Thus, they are not normally allocated on the basis of a single classification. These other costs are generally allocated on a composite basis of certain other cost categories. For example: common plant may be allocated on the composite allocation of all production, transmission, storage and distribution plant; and administrative and general expenses may be allocated in accordance with the composite allocation of all other operating and maintenance expense, excluding the cost of gas.

4. Methods of Allocation of Demand or Capacity Costs

a. Theory

There is a wide variety of alternative formulas for allocating and determining demand costs, each of which has received support from some rate experts. No method is universally accepted, although some definitely have more merit than others. The electric industry has produced more alternatives than the gas industry. For instance, in an early 1950 case before the Illinois Commerce Commission, an executive of Commonwealth Edison Company noted the existence of 29 different formulas for the apportionment of demand costs. The application of these formulas produced drastically different cost assignments to the several service classifications. As a result, the Illinois Commission refused to direct that the utility present such evidence. The NARUC published in 1955, through its Engineering Committee, a detailed discussion of 16 such methods.

The multiplicity of available methods (which in fact reflects the insoluble nature of the problem) has led many recognized experts to express grave doubts about the efficacy of cost of service analyses.

The most commonly used demand allocations for natural gas distribution utilities are the coincident demand method, the non-coincident demand method, the average and peak method, or some modification or combination of the three.

b. Coincident Demand Method

In the coincident demand (peak responsibility) method, allocation is based on the demands of the various classes of customers at the time of system peak. This method favors high load factor customers who take gas at a steady rate all year long by assigning the greater percentage of demand costs to lower load factor heating customers whose consumption is greatest at the time of the system peak. Generally, interruptible customers would receive no allocation of demand costs under this formula since they should be off the system during the peak period. The demand component of the cost of gas is generally allocated on a coincident demand method.

c. Noncoincident Demand Method

This method would result in all classes of customers being allocated a portion of system cost based upon their actual peak, regardless of the time of its occurrence. This method assigns cost to customer classes such as interruptibles, and thereby reduces the costs allocated to the heating customer under the peak demand method. The demand related portion of distribution mains and transmission mains are commonly allocated on a noncoincident demand method.

d. Average and Peak Demand Method

This method reflects a compromise between the coincident and noncoincident demand methods. Total demand costs are multiplied by the system's load factor to arrive at the capacity costs attributed to average use and are apportioned to the various customer classes on an annual volumetric basis. The remaining costs are considered to have been incurred to meet the individual peak demands of the